
Network-Attached Secure Disks (NASD)


Garth Gibson http://www.pdl.cs.cmu.edu/NASD

Meet scaling compute needs with storage striped over scalable client network



Endpoint networking world

Scalable nets give scalable aggregate BW internally





Parallel Data Laboratory

http://www.pdl.cs.cmu.edu


DARPA/ITO Quorum

Network-Attached Secure Disk (NASD)

Avoid file manager unless policy decision needed

- access control once (1,2) for all accesses (3,4) to drive object
- spread access computation over all drives under manager

Scalable BW, off-load manager, fewer messages



Storage industry is ready and willing

Disk bandwidth: now 10+ MB/s; soon 30 MB/s

- Disk-embedded, high-speed, packetized SCSI
- Eg. 100+ MB/s Fibrechannel peripheral interconnect

Disk areal density: now 1+ Gbpsi; growing 60%/yr

- Reducing TPI demands more complex servo algorithms
- Put faster RISC processor in integrated function ASIC

Profit-tight marketplace exploits cycles to compete

- Geometry-sensitive disk scheduling, readahead/writebehind
- RAID support to off-load parity update computation
- Dynamic mapping for transparent optimizations
- Cost of managing storage per year 3-7X storage cost



Parallel Data Laboratory

Large increases in drive MIPS cost-effective

Quantum Trident drive

- Control: M68020
- Datapath ASIC -
- .68 micron in 1997
- 4 indep clock domains, each 40 MHz SCSI processor disk R/W channel uP control port DRAM port
- ~ 110 Kgates + 22Kb
- .35 micron next gen. enables integration of control uP onto ASIC

Current .68 micron chip is 74 sq. mm

frees 100 Kgates ? cryptography

Kgates raphy

.35 micron frees 40 sq. mm

Insert .35 micron StrongArm RISC uP fits in 27 sq. mm with 8K+8K cache at 200 MHz, 230 Dhrystone MIPS

Carnegie Mellon


Parallel Data Laboratory

http://www.pdl.cs.cmu.edu

DARPA/ITO Quorum

Security implications of network-attached storage

SCSI storage trusts all well-formed commands ! Storage integrity critical to information assets Firewall is bottleneck, costly, ineffective Use cryptography same way as currently using ECC



NASD security protocol: integrity protection

Clients "carries" access rights to NASD drive

- manager builds Capability, sends to client to "carry" to drive
- **Capability = Digest(Key,Drive,Object,Version,Rights,Expiry)**
- Key is secret between manager and drive (really 1 of 4 keys)
- request for Operation on Object sent by client to Drive: Operation,Object,Rights,Expiry,Digest(Capability,Operation)

Drive must enforce prior manager authorization

- drive computes capability, operation digest on each request
- manager revokes Capability by 1) letting it expire, or
 advancing Object's Version on drive
- no explicit message to drive with each client open
- drive can reduce digest costs by caching capabilities



Parallel Data Laboratory

Prototyping NASD: NFS & AFS on NASD

File -> NASD object; Directory -> NASD object

NASD object: private metadata, exposed attributes

- allocation: length, blocks used; times: create, data modify
- FS specific: NFS: owner, group, mode
- FS specific: AFS: above and modify time

Operation disposition

- NFS: to drive: get attribute, read, write
- AFS: to drive: FetchStatus, BulkStatus, FetchData (w/cap), StoreData (w/cap)
- AFS: Read w/o cap: GetCap (callback, attributes), (GetAttr from drive), FetchData
- AFS: Write w/o cap: GetWCap, StoreData, ReturnCap (break callbacks)



Parallel Data Laboratory

G. Gibson, July 14, 1997


Experimenting with striped NFS-NASD prototype

Transparent function extension through NASD stacks

- NFS-NASD FM issues capabilities on a psuedo-object
- Psuedo-object managed by NASD-striper
- After first touch by each, direct client-drive transfers

Experiments on DEC Alpha testbed; DCE on OC3

- user-level NASD client library
- aggregate random large read BW scales with client/drives




NASD object interface: Where is file metadata?

Not at client: don't rest integrity on trusted client Data Layout in storage device ?

- avoid distributing per-drive block lists to drive
- enables on-drive, drive-subsystem optimization ie. AutoRAID; deleted space recovery
 - ie. interposed/stackable NASD striping, RAID

ie. remote Transparent Informed Prefetching





Parallel Data Laboratory

http://www.pdl.cs.cmu.edu

Industry NASD collaboration

National Storage Industry Consortium (NSIC)

- launched NASD project April 96 (CMU, HP, IBM, STK) http://www.hpl.hp.com/SSP/NASD
- signed IP rights sharing agreement Jan 31 97 CMU, HP, IBM, STK, Seagate, Quantum
- Participants execute independently funded research, sharing issues impacting NASD architecture/interfaces
- quarterly two-day meetings; monthly teleconferences
- host public workshop with each meeting
- **Recently ~20 workshop talks**
 - speakers from HP, STK, Seagate, DEC, Tandem, IDC, CMU, Arizona, MIT, LLNL, USC/ISI
 - attendees from NIST, IBM, Clarion, Symbios, Compaq, Quantum, EMC, Novell

IDC predicts \$11B Net-Attach Storage market in 2000



Parallel Data Laboratory

Network-attached (secure) storage

• Baracuda, Seagate; DVD, van Meter

Third-party transfer

• RAID-II, Drapeau; PIO, Berdahl; MSSRM, P1244; SCSI

Richer storage interfaces

• Logical Disk, deJonge; Petal, Lee; Attribute Mgd, Wilkes;

Server striping

- Zebra, Hartman; xFS, Dahlin
- Capabilities
 - Dennis66; Hydra, Wulf; ICAP, Gong; Amoeba, Tanenbaum
- **Application-assisted storage**
 - Mapped cache, Maeda; Fbufs, Druschel; Cooperative caching, Dahlin, Feeley




Parallel Data Laboratory

G. Gibson, July 14, 1997

Critical related work: cluster net API standards

COTS cluster nets for cost-effective scalable servers



13/14



Parallel Data Laboratory

http://www.pdl.cs.cmu.edu

G. Gibson, July 14, 1997

Summary: moving function to storage is multi-win

Network-stripe storage for scalable bandwidth Drive computational power rapidly growing Industry needs to evolve peripheral network NASD: offload transfer, simple command processing **NASD** crypto protocol verifies file manager decisions **Ported NFS, AFS file managers support more clients Object interface for drive extension, performance opt.** Stacked function layers need not imply copying **Industry group working on standards recommendation**



Parallel Data Laboratory

http://www.pdl.cs.cmu.edu